Judging the nudge: applying behavioral economics to promote post-abortion family planning in Nepal

IN BRIEF
Studies show that half of all pregnancies in Nepal are unintended, and almost half of these are with women using some sort of contraception. Women attending MSI clinics in the country say they want to delay their next pregnancy but contraception use after abortion has remained low.

We wanted to see if we could boost the uptake of more reliable, longer-acting reversible contraception (LARC) methods among this client group. So we collaborated with ideas42 to help develop an intervention based on behavioral economics, which focuses on understanding why people choose and act as they do – and then designing small ‘nudges’ to effect change.

By the end of the trial period, overall LARC uptake increased from 23% to 30%, mostly reflecting a shift away from short-term methods.

THE CHALLENGE
Changing attitudes to contraception

Sunaulo Parivar Nepal, an implementing partner of MSI, provides about 40,000 safe abortions each year, with 36 clinics across the country.

Over half of the women attending clinics for abortions say they want to delay their next pregnancy by at least two years. Long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) methods, such as IUDs and implants, are widely available, relatively cheap and close to 100% effective. But uptake is low. As of July 2016 only one in four safe abortion clients took up a LARC. We wanted to know why – and see if we could change this behavior in the context of a service offering fully informed choice.

WHAT WE DID
From observation to action

Findings from our initial interviews and observations revealed that providers did not consistently counsel women on LARCs after abortion, missing opportunities to increase uptake. Evidence from other areas suggests campaigns around awareness and client-centered counseling can help boost LARC uptake rates.

Focusing on provider-side behavior, we designed and evaluated the effectiveness of a clinic peer-performance comparison through a step-wedged cluster randomized controlled trial. This involved sending monthly posters to each clinic highlighting LARC uptake rates and how well they were doing compared to similar clinics.

Behavioral interventions like this offer a low-tech and cost-effective solution to programs.
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WHAT THIS MEANS
Changing attitudes on both sides

We were keen to see how staff responded, as seeing your performance publicized as “low” may have been demotivating, while being “high” could trigger complacency. But the results show a similar uptake increase across all clinics at different levels – and post-campaign interviews suggest the posters helped service providers change their behavior and focus on post-abortion family planning.

Despite the study coming to an end, the clinics are continuing to generate and use the posters. Behavioral interventions like this offer a low-tech and cost-effective solution to programs. So it’s encouraging to see it being embraced internally and scaled up across the entire network of SPN clinics in Nepal.
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WHAT WE FOUND
Improving LARC uptake

By the end of the trial period, after taking into account differences in clients (age, type of abortion, urban/rural) and the usual time trends occurring in the clinics, overall LARC uptake among abortion and post-abortion clients increased from 22.6% to 29.6%, with the change reflecting a move from short-term methods, which declined during the study. There was also no evidence that the intervention crowded out other services. Our study was one of few randomized control trials aiming to increase contraceptive uptake among post-abortion women.

Results

• Baseline LARC uptake was 23% (vs 53% short-term).
• After adjustment, the intervention increased LARC uptake by 7%.
• This change occurred by switching from short-acting to long-acting methods (short-term use decreased by 6%).
• Improvements occurred in all types of clinics but was highest in ‘high’ clinics (8.7% vs 5.3% in ‘lowest’).
• Improvements started one month before poster roll-out, suggesting training also had a motivating effect.

LARC uptake (%)

Pre–RCT 2016 RCT 2017 Post-RCT
Jan Feb Mar Apr Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec
2016 2017

Cluster 1 begin receiving posters September 1, cluster 2 began October 1, cluster 3 began November 1, and cluster 4 began December 1.

WHAT WE DID
Finding the right blend

As part of the study design, all 36 clinics were assigned to one of four randomization clusters, with nine clinics in each cluster. After two months with all clinics in the control group, one cluster at a time was randomized to begin receiving the posters in each subsequent month.

Depending on the current clinic status, the posters would suggest ways to improve or commend high-performing centers. We monitored performance using MSI’s routine service statistics.
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